Prompt γ-rays as a probe of nuclear dynamics with PARIS@IPNO



I.M. Harca[†]1,9,11, E. Kozulin I, M. Ashaduzzaman3, C. Borcea9, S. Brambilla5, S. Calinescu9, M. Ciemala4, B. DeCanditiis3, S. Dmitriev I, O. Dorvaux7, J. Itkis I, G. Knyazheva I, N. Kozulina I, I.V. Kolesov I, G. La Rana3, A. Maj4, I. Matea2, K. Novikov I, C. Petrone9, A. Pulcini3, D. Quero3, P. Rath3, E. Saveleva I, C. Schmitt8, G. Sposito3, O. Stezowski6, W.H. Trzaska I 0, E. Vardaci3, J. Wilson2

1FLNR, JINR, Dubna, Russia 2IPN, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France 3INFN (INFN-Na), Napoli, Italy 4INP PAS, Krakow, Poland harca@jinr.ru 2018^{5I.N.F.N.} and Univ. di Milano, Italy Warsaw, Poland

Dubna

6Univ. de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, IPNL, France 7IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3, Univ. de Strasbourg, France 8GANIL, CEA/DSM–CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France 9IFIN-HH, Bucharest - Magurele, Romania 10JYFL, Jyväskylä, Finland 11FF-UB, Bucharest, Romania

Motivation and Goal :

Challenging fission around the interaction barrier

$^{32}\text{S}+^{197}\text{Au} \rightarrow ^{229}\text{Am}^*,~\text{E}^* \approx 43~\text{MeV}$

- Coupling of 33 detectionsystems and CORORDET QRORADANT PARIAS;
- Extracting getails i bnothels best of the standarizing trizon got provides services of the service of the servi
- Measurement of promptpy-raysairs coincident de with with hydrascriperation of the sector of the



Are population and feedings of specific isotopes preferred in different mechanisms or CNF modes?
 How does the γ-ray multiplicity or the sum energy evolve with fragment mass A, TKE or their variances?

Experimental Setup: CORSET



Experimental Setup: Coincident γ-rays

- ORGAM: Prompt γ–rays coincident with fission fragment (FF).
- PARIS: Prompt –rays (including high-energy) coincident with FF.

Parameter	ORGAM	PARIS
Number and type of Detectors	10 x Ge + BGO shielding	10 x LaBr3(Ce)-Nal(Tl) (phoswich)
Photo-peak Efficiency	~1%	~1%
Energy resolution	2.6(3.4)keV @121(1408)keV	62keV @1332keV
Dynamical range	$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline Parameter & ORGAM & PARIS \\ \hline Parameter & ORGAM & PARIS \\ \hline Number and type & 10 x Ge + BGO & 10 x LaBr3(Ce)-Nal(TI) \\ of Detectors & shielding \\ \hline Photo-peak & -1% & -1% \\ Efficiency & 2.6(3.4)keV & 62keV \\ \hline Energy resolution & $21G(3.4)keV$ & $62keV$ \\ \hline Dynamical range & $\mathbf{E_y} < 2.5MeV$ & $\mathbf{E_y} < 15MeV$ \\ \hline \end{array}$	Parameter ORGAM PARIS Number and type of Detectors $10 \times Ge + BGO$ $10 \times LaB3(Ce) - Nal(Tl)$ (phoswich) Photo-peak Efficiency -1% -1% Energy resolution $2.6(3.4)keV$ $61332keV$ Dynamical range $E_Y < 2.5MeV$ $E_Y < 15MeV$







PARIS Add-back

calibration source Am-Be



Efficiency before addback	0.2% @ 4.4MeV
Efficiency after addback	0.4% @ 4.4MeV





γ – Coincident with FF

PARIS

2

3

5

FOLD

6

7

8

9

IPN

JINR



discrimination of γ -rays against neutrons.

- Wide energy range.
- Able to accept a high counting rate.

γ – Coincident with FF

PARIS



Y-rays- Coincident with FF

The high energy component of the γ -ray spectrum shows a dependency on the fragment mass split, particularly in the region of masses 120::132

 $E\gamma$ (keV)



Fragment Mass (u)

EEFFECTENCY CORRECTED EY



The total number of γ s in ORGAM+PARIS after efficiency correction $N_{\gamma}^{ORGAM+PARIS} = 2149280 \rightarrow \langle M_{\gamma} \rangle = \frac{N_{\gamma}^{ORGAM+PARIS}}{N_{FF}} = 7.8.$



Fold to Multiplicity conversion

If we have a number of N detectors, each of efficiency $\Omega_1, \Omega_2...\Omega_N$, the P(M, F, k) will be:

$$P(M, F, k) = \binom{M}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i\right)^k \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i\right)^{M-k},$$

and the P(F, k) will be:

$$P(F,k) = \sum_{l=0}^{F} (-1)^{F-l} \binom{N-l}{N-F} \sum_{Pa(l)} \left[\frac{\Omega_{a1} + \dots + \Omega_{al}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i} \right]^k,$$

where $\sum_{Pa(l)}$ is the sum over all permutations which take l out of N detectors.

NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS AND METHODS 153 (1978) 221-228





Fold to Multiplicity conversion

 $M_{\gamma}(A) \& M_{\gamma}(TKE)$



Dividing the Multiplicity for each fragment



$$I_i = \frac{\mathcal{I}_i I_T}{\sum_i \mathcal{I}_i + \mu R^2}, \ \mathcal{I}_i = \frac{2}{5} r_0^2 A_i^{5/3}$$

L.G. Moretto et al. Nuclear Physics A 502 (1989) 453c-472c

$$M_{\gamma A_1} = \frac{A_1}{2n_{A_1}A_{CN}}\frac{n_{\gamma}}{p_{\gamma}}.$$

Frances Pleasonton, Robert L. Ferguson, and H.W. Schmitt, PRC volume 6, number 3

Thank you for your attention !

- The 3 detection systems CORSET + ORGAM + PARIS were successfully coupled at IPNO;
 - THE Mass-TKE correlation was obtained within a very good resolution (2 amu, 5 MeV);
- Obtained the total γ-multiplicities, event by event -> which should give us access to the description of the prescission shapes of the quasi-fission process or fissioning nucleus at the saddle points && more...



This work was supported by FLNR, JINR, the IN2P3-JINR Agreement No 14-90, the ENSAR2 project "N-SI-88", by the nancial contribution of Romania to the Joint Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia to the project no. 03-5-1094-2010/2016, by the Polish National Science Centre under Contract No. 2013/08/M/ST2/00257 and the French LEA COPIGAL