# Constraining the Symmetry Energy with Neutron-Removal Cross Sections



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

## T. Aumann

- C.A. Bertulani
- F. Schindler

#### S. Typel

arXiv:1710.00176, PRL 119 (2017) 262501



ENSAR2 – NuSPRASEN Workshop on Nuclear Reactions Heavy Ion Laboratory Warsaw, Poland





## Outline



- Motivation
- Constraining the Slope Parameter L
- New Approach to Determine the Neutron Skin Thickness
- Theoretical Description
- Cross Sections
- Theoretical Model for Nuclei
- Results for Tin Nuclei
- Accuracy of Reaction Theory
- Conclusions

# Motivation



## symmetry energy of nuclear matter

- density dependence of E<sub>sym</sub>(p)
  - below saturation density *ρ*<sub>0</sub> ≈ 0.15 fm<sup>-3</sup>: convergence of theoretical approaches, consistency with experimental constraints
  - above saturation density: large uncertainties
- characteristic parameters at saturation
  - symmetry energy at saturation J = E<sub>sym</sub>(*ρ*<sub>0</sub>): rather well constrained
  - ► slope parameter  $L = 3\rho_0 \frac{dE_{sym}}{d\rho} \Big|_{\rho=\rho_0}$ still large uncertainties
- experimental determination
  - methods ?



# **Constraining the Slope Parameter L**



## tight correlations with

- neutron skin thickness \(\Delta\)rnp (see, e.g., X. Roca-Maza et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 252501)
- parity-violating asymmetry A<sub>PV</sub> in *e* scattering on <sup>208</sup>Pb (PREX) (see, e.g., S. Aprahamyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2011) 112502)
- ground-state dipole polarizability α<sub>D</sub> (see. e.g., P.-G. Reinhard and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010) 051303)

#### uncertainties

- Parity-violating asymmetry: A<sub>PV</sub>(±3%) ⇒ Δr<sub>np</sub>(±0.06 fm) ⇒ L(±40 MeV) (X. Roca-Maza et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 252501)
- dipole polarizability  $\alpha_D$ : 20 MeV  $\leq L \leq$  66 MeV

(X. Roca-Maza et al., Phys. Rev. C 92 (2015) 064304)



# New Approach to Determine the Neutron Skin Thckness



## high-energy nuclear collisions

- secondary beams of neutron-rich nuclei (neutron-rich Sn isotopes)
- beam energies of 0.4 to 1 GeV/nucleon
- hydrogen or carbon targets (<sup>12</sup>C)
- high number of events in hadronic reactions

#### cross sections

- total reaction cross section  $\sigma_R = \sigma_{\Delta N} + \sigma_{\Delta Z}$
- ► total neutron-removal cross section  $\sigma_{\Delta N}$  $\Rightarrow$  sensitivity to neutron skin thickness  $\Delta r_{np}$
- total charge-changing cross section σ<sub>ΔZ</sub>

## theoretical description

Glauber multiple scattering theory

(see. e.g., M.L. Miller et al., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 57 (2007) 205)

# **Theoretical Description**



#### Glauber multiple scattering approach

▶ cross section for production of fragment (Z, N) from projectile  $(Z_P, N_P)$ 

$$\sigma = \binom{Z_P}{Z} \binom{N_P}{N} \int d^2 b \left[1 - P_p(b)\right]^{Z_P - Z} P_p^Z \left[1 - P_n(b)\right]^{N_P - N} P_n^N$$

survival probability of single-nucleon i

$$P_{i}(b) = \int dz \ d^{2}s \ \varrho_{i}^{P}(\vec{s},z) \exp\left[-\sigma_{ip}Z_{T} \int dz' \ \varrho_{p}^{T} \left(\vec{b}-\vec{s},z'\right) - \sigma_{in}N_{T} \int dz' \ \varrho_{n}^{T} \left(\vec{b}-\vec{s},z'\right)\right]$$

## Input

- nucleon-proton (neutron) total reaction cross sections σ<sub>ip</sub> (σ<sub>in</sub>) from experiment
- ► projectile (target) proton (neutron) densities  $\varrho_{\rho(n)}^{P(T)}$ , normalized as  $\int d^3r \, \varrho_{\rho(n)}^{P(T)} = 1$ , from theory

## **Cross Sections**



## NN Reaction Cross Sections

 fit of experimental data from 10 MeV to 5 GeV (C.A. Bertulani and C. De Conti, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010) 064603)

## Total <sup>12</sup>C-<sup>12</sup>C Reaction Cross Sections

- <sup>12</sup>C densities from elastic electron scattering (E.A.J.M. Offermann et al., Phys. Rev. C. 44 (1991) 1096)
- theory without/with Pauli blocking
   (F. Schindler, Doctoral Thesis (2017) TU Darmstadt)
- experimental data

(100-400 MeV/nucleon: M. Takechi et al.,
Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 061691
790 MeV/nucleon: I. Tanihata et al.,
in *Radioactive Nuclear Beams*, World Scientific (1990) 429
950 MeV/nucleon: A. Ozawa et al.,
Nucl. Phys. A 691 (2001) 599)



## **Theoretical Model for Nuclei**



## Relativistic Density Functional

- phenomenological model
- density dependent nucleon-meson couplings
- fit of parameters to observables of nuclei
- systematic variation of slope parameter L starting from standard parametrization DD2 (S. Typel, Phys. Rev. C 89 (2014) 064321)

parametrization	symmetry	slope
	energy	parameter
	J [MeV]	<i>L</i> [MeV]
DD2+++	35.34	100.00
DD2++	34.12	85.00
DD2 <sup>+</sup>	32.98	70.00
DD2	31.67	55.04
DD2 <sup>-</sup>	30.09	40.00
DD2 <sup></sup>	28.22	25.00



# **Results for Tin Nuclei I**



- Dependence on Mass Number A
- Example: <sup>132</sup>Sn

L from 25 MeV (DD2<sup>--</sup>) to 100 MeV (DD2<sup>+++</sup>), variation of  $\pm$ 60%  $\Rightarrow$ 

- neutron skin thickness  $\Delta r_{np}$  from 0.15 fm to 0.34 fm (±39%)
- total reaction cross section σ<sub>R</sub> from 2550 mb to 2610 mb (±1.2%)



# **Results for Tin Nuclei II**

- Dependence on Mass Number A
- Example: <sup>132</sup>Sn

L from 25 MeV (DD2<sup>--</sup>) to 100 MeV (DD2<sup>+++</sup>), variation of  $\pm$ 60%  $\Rightarrow$ 

- neutron skin thickness  $\Delta r_{np}$  from 0.15 fm to 0.34 fm (±39%)
- neutron-removal cross section
   σ<sub>ΔN</sub> from 460 mb to 540 mb (±8%)





# **Results for Tin Nuclei III**



- Dependence on Slope Parameter L
- ► Example: <sup>124</sup>Sn variation of *L* by ±5 MeV ⇒
  - ► variation of ∆r<sub>np</sub> by ±0.01 fm
  - variation of σ<sub>ΔN</sub>
     by ±5 mb (±1%)

sensitivity even higher for <sup>132</sup>Sn



# Accuracy of Reaction Theory I



## Nuclear Fragmentation in High-Energy Collisions

- primary fragment production: multi-nucleon removal via nucleon-nucleon collisions
- secondary fragment production: nucleon evaporation (e.g. Hauser-Feshbach theory of compound-nucleus decay) model dependent, but not required here (e.g., less than 0.5% of σ<sub>ΔN</sub> transferred to σ<sub>ΔZ</sub> for 580 MeV/nucleon <sup>124</sup>Sn on <sup>12</sup>C)

## Nucleon Loss after Inelastic Excitation

- e.g. collective states in the continuum/giant resonances
- nuclear and electromagnetic contributions
- > has to be known with uncertainty < 5%, impossible with present reaction theory
- measurable with state-of-the-art kinematical complete experiments (very different angular distribution, boosted to forward direction at high beam energy)

# \_\_\_\_\_

- **Eikonal Description of Primary Process** test of model performance for <sup>12</sup>C+<sup>12</sup>C reaction
  - input: NN cross sections, density distributions
  - no additional energy-dependent parameters
  - improvement by Pauli blocking correction (C.A. Bertulani and C. De Conti, PRC 81 (2010) 064603)
  - ► below  $\approx$  400 MeV/nucleon: effects of Fermi motion  $\Rightarrow$  increase of  $\sigma_R$ (M. Takechi et al., PRC 79 (2009) 061601)
  - ► no experimental data between 400 and 800 MeV/nucleon ⇒ extremely important
  - ► different energy dependence of *np* and *pp* cross sections ⇒ effects with change of targets

## Accuracy of Reaction Theory II





# Accuracy of Reaction Theory III



## Eikonal Description of Primary Process

energy dependence, example with <sup>134</sup>Sn projectiles

- comparison of proton and <sup>12</sup>C targets
- proton target: test of *n* skin only with *pn* reactions, charge changing only with *pp* reactions
- <sup>12</sup>C target: surface dominated process
- ► ratios of cross sections: no energy dependence for  $\sigma_R(p)/\sigma_R({}^{12}C)$ , but for  $\sigma_{\Delta N}(p)/\sigma_{\Delta N}({}^{12}C)$  and  $\sigma_{\Delta Z}(p)/\sigma_{\Delta Z}({}^{12}C)$
- $\Rightarrow$  crucial experimental tests for reaction theory



## Conclusions



#### Measurement of Total Neutron-Removal Cross Sections

- study of neutron-rich nuclei in relativistic heavy-ion collisions
- experimental determination of neutron skin thickness/slope parameter L
- 2% uncertainty in experimental and theoretical cross sections
   ⇒ 10 MeV uncertainty in *L* achievable
- promising technique, possible with new detectors at existing radioactive-beam facilities

## Reaction Model

experimental variations of targets, beams, energies  $\Rightarrow$ 

- test validity of reaction model
- track sensitivity of measurements
- guide systematic improvements